

Teleconference Executive committee (29 Ex Comm. -- 28 June 2010)
(Draft) Minutes

Attended:	Not able to attend:	Secretariat
Rifat Atun (Chair) Mario Raviglione Jeremiah Chakaya Ken Castro Michael Kimerling (<i>representing Peter Small</i>) Jean François de Lavison	Giorgio Roscigno	Marcos Espinal Alan Esser Giuliano Gargioni (partial) Julia Geer (partial) Raegan Boler (partial) Joel Spicer (partial) Abigail Moreland (BCG, partial) Wendy Woods (BCG, partial) Leopold Blanc (WHO STB Department, partial)
Minutes of Discussion	Decision	Action
1. Process for Selection of the Next Executive Secretary		
<p>The Secretariat introduced the process for selection of the next Executive Secretary, including the appointment of two Coordinating Board members to participate in the Selection Panel. Participation in the selection panel would involve face to face meetings in Geneva and participation in interviews and post-interview discussions with the Selection Panel as needed.</p>		
<p>Committee members noted improvements in the process of 2010 versus 2003 and fully endorsed the process proposed.</p> <p>Committee members focused on the issue of Board member representation on the Selection Panel. In order to ensure the transparency of the process for Board members to join the Selection Committee, Committee members discussed the possibility of sending a message to the full Board requesting volunteers. To ensure that the process does not become protracted, a vote by the Executive Committee of all volunteers would take place, if more than 2 volunteers were forthcoming, to ensure timely appointment of 2 CB members to the Selection Panel.</p>	<p>The Committee unanimously agreed to the proposed selection process for the next Executive Secretary.</p> <p>The Committee agreed that the Secretariat will prepare and send an email to the full Board requesting 2 volunteers to join the selection panel for the next Executive Secretary. The message will clearly stipulate what will be expected from volunteers (travel to Geneva, participation in pre-interview, interview, and post-interview meetings over several days). The message will also clearly indicate that, if more than 2 volunteers come forward, the Executive Committee will vote on who shall join the Selection Panel to ensure a timely completion of the process.</p>	<p>Secretariat to prepare and send message to the full Board soliciting volunteers to join the Selection Committee.</p>
2. Global Drug Facility: Next Steps Following the Consultancy		
<p>BCG consultants provided an overview of progress to date and feedback received by the Coordinating Board on a variety of issues in the last version of the report that was sent to the Coordinating Board for review and comment. BCG also highlighted discussions with GDF's Business Advisory Committee, and indicated that all comments were expected to be received by the end of this week, allowing for finalization of the report in the week following.</p>		

<p>Executive Committee members focused discussions on the process moving forward and in particular whether or not the report should be presented at the next Coordinating Board or endorsement should be sought electronically before that time to allow for preparation of an action plan for the Fall CB based on the recommendations contained in the report.</p>	<p>The Executive Committee recommended that any members having comments provide them to BCG before the end of the week for incorporation into the final draft.</p> <p>The Executive Committee agreed to circulation of the finalized report to the full Board for a two week period for electronic endorsement on a no objections basis. As a part of this message will be an indication that if the report is endorsed, a prioritized plan of action will be prepared and presented at the next Coordinating Board meeting, as mandated by the Executive Committee. If the report is not endorsed, the issue will revert back to the Executive Committee for further guidance.</p>	<p>BCG to finalize report based on all comments received by the end of the week.</p> <p>Secretariat to circulate finalized report to the Board as requested by the Executive Committee.</p> <p>Pending comments from the Board on the final report, Secretariat to begin preparation of a prioritized plan of action for presentation at the Autumn 2010 Coordinating Board meeting, as mandated by the Executive Committee</p>
--	---	---

3. Stop TB / UNAIDS Compact: Revised Version and Launch at IAS

<p>The Secretariat introduced the revised draft Compact that now takes into consideration comments and inputs provided by the Coordinating Board at its 18th meeting in Hanoi. A briefing was also presented regarding the possible launch of the Compact in July at the IAS during a session at which the Special Envoy will have a keynote speaking role.</p>		
<p>Executive Committee members discussed the incorporation of Board member feedback provided in Hanoi; any further feedback should be given by the end of the week.</p> <p>The Chair requested point 11 on pg. 5 be revisited in light of the feasibility of achievement by 2011 and recommended this be pushed to 2012, allowing for planning, establishment of baseline data and resource mobilization around the target in 2011.</p>	<p>Executive Committee members who wish to provide any final comments on the draft were requested to do so by the end of the week.</p> <p>The Executive Committee recommended revision of point 11 on pg 5: <i>The number of people living with HIV who die of TB reduced by 20% in at least ten of the most affected HIV/TB burden countries, by end 2011 2012 compared to a 2004 baseline.</i></p>	<p>Secretariat to adjust Compact and coordinate with UNAIDS for clearance and launch at IAS in July.</p>

4. MDR TB Working Group and Task Forces: Interim Briefing on Progress

<p>Leopold Blanc provided an overview of the meeting of the three task forces held on 18 June and the progress and areas of work of each of the task forces. A potential meeting around STAG in September was also discussed with a plan to finalize the architecture for presentation to the Autumn 2010 Coordinating Board meeting.</p>		
<p>The Secretariat introduced the issue of a fourth task force on political commitment and country level advocacy as discussed at the Hanoi CB. The Secretariat proposed whether a</p>	<p>The Executive Committee endorsed the idea of the proposed consultancy presented by the Secretariat and requested that the Terms of Reference prepared</p>	<p>Secretariat to begin preparation of the Terms of Reference for the consultancy.</p>

<p>consultancy may not be a better means to address this issue, allowing for greater focus on the top 7 or 8 priority countries and formulation of specific advocacy activities for each, following consultations with national and regional-based partners.</p>	<p>clearly include timelines and deliverables to ensure presentation to the next Coordinating Board in Autumn 2010.</p>	
<p>5. Any Other Business</p>		
<p>Jean Francois indicated that as his second consecutive mandate as Board Member representing the Private Sector Constituency would expire over the summer, this would be his final Executive Committee Teleconference. The Executive Committee expressed unanimous thanks to Jean Francois for his active participation and contributions to the Executive Committee, the Coordinating Board and to the Private Sector Constituency, which all noted had been strengthened considerably under his tenure as Board member.</p> <p>The Chair also introduced the results of the recent electronic vote by the Coordinating Board on allocating an additional seat to the NGO constituency. The Secretariat reported that the low turnout during the voting period did not result in quorum. The Executive Committee weighted the options of having a second vote, or revisiting the issue at a later date. The Executive Committee expressed unanimous agreement to not hold a second round of voting and indicated its wish to more fully develop the constituencies of the Board, rather than to increase its membership. Further, the Executive Committee requested the Secretariat to communicate the results to the full Board and that the Executive Committee has deliberated on the matter and decided against holding a second vote, while also clearly indicating its full support for development of the constituencies of the Board.</p>		